

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,489 11/25/2003		Robert A. Scott	6513/C2-11-EJF	7509	
E I Eslavo	7590 04/06/2007	EXAMINER			
Evan J. Federn Legal Division	L	KRASS, FREDERICK F			
Warner-Lambe 201 Tabor Roa		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Morris Plains,	- 	1614			
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		04/06/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/721,489		SCOTT ET AL.				
			Examiner	. !	Art Unit				
			Frederick Kr		1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appe	ears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IN LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IN LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IN LONGER IN LO	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period wi y will. by statute.	ATE OF THIS 6(a). In no event fill apply and will 6 cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be tirr expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atton to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	_•						
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□									
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) 63-72 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	-								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 63-72 are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					•			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	r .						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> acce	epted or b)	objected to by the B	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the d	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	on is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 									
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certific	ed copies not receive	d.				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4	Interview Summary					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/721,489

Art Unit: 1614

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 63-71, drawn to methods for making hard capsule portions, classified in class 424, subclass 451 plus.

II. Claim 72, drawn to hard capsule portions, classified in class 427, subclass 2.1plus.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, e.g. by preform injection molding.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject

Application/Control Number: 10/721,489

Art Unit: 1614

matter as illustrated by their differing areas of classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.Applicant is advised

Application/Control Number: 10/721,489

Art Unit: 1614

that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/721,489

Art Unit: 1614

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Frederick Krass whose telephone number is (571) 272-0580. The

examiner can normally be reached at (571) 272-0580 on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM

to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick Krass **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1614_ Filler